

Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council Session 2010

1st Regular Meeting

1st Notice

Date: 2010/3/19 (Friday)

Time: 18:00 - 19:30*

Venue: UG116

Agenda

1. To appoint a acting secretary
2. To read out the correspondences
3. To discuss the meeting format and frequency of meeting
4. To receive and adopt the agenda
5. To discuss the year plan of CAC
6. To discuss the presses concerning "大學外判餐廳員工薪酬"
7. To discuss the "五區總辭"
8. To discuss the "功能組別"
9. A.O.B.

Prepared & Approved By:

Ernest Cheung

Chairman of Current Affairs Committee (acting)

HKUSU Council Session 2010



新聞稿

無良大學排行榜大書冠 竊太工資最低 要求規管外判餐廳：工資 33 元 8 小時工作

飲食及酒店業職工總會（職工盟）在 2009 年 10 月至 2010 年 2 月期間，進行大學外判餐廳員工的特選和職業安全調查問卷調查，邀請學生兼工務助，共訪問了 238 名來自 7 間院校外判餐廳的員工。

基層工友受剝削 工資極低堪憐

受訪基層全職員工的平均工資為 23.9 元，平均月入只有 5,965 元，當中 55.6% 的工人每月收入更少於低收入階級的水平（即 6,240 元，計算方法見附件一）。調查發現最大型員工的平均工資最低只有 21.6 元，而其中港大的泛亞公司（大眾餐館）的樓面清潔員工，月薪 4,751 元，每天工作 10.5 小時，時薪僅得 17.4 元。

低薪情況不只基層工友受害，調查發現大型外判餐廳的技術 / 管理級員工工資一般較業界為低，當中以快餐樓面主任及大廚的差距最大，分別較業界低 17.7% 及 16.9%，可見低薪剝削正向其他工種蔓延。

若以最低全職時薪 30 元的水平為界，合共有 156 名受訪工人的時薪比這水平更低，其中以港大（29 人）、港大（27 人）及港大（24 人）的受訪員工最多，而當中受僱於大眾餐館員工下泛亞的基層員工高達 61 人，佔低薪員工的 4 成。大眾餐館作為院校中最大的外判承辦商（估計聘用了約 310 人），又是本港的上市公司，其主席陳裕光作為是僱工區區附委員會的成員，其所屬集團卻以最低薪為薪酬技術，帶頭在院校實行剝削性的工資，實在極為惡劣。

工時長危險健康安全 零散化排班難穩定

數據顯示基層全職員工共 143 人平均工作時間為每天 9.74 小時，工時比其行業為高，當中 3 成受訪者表示需要加班，其中 71% 受訪員工時速 10 小時或以上，其中最大工時為 12 小時，每天 10.8 小時。在職業安全方面，分別有 23.7% 曾遭過工作傷及 51.8% 曾有職業安全問題，主要部位是后腦、手肘、腰及膝蓋。當中以理大則工傷及勞損問題嚴重，分別有 4 成及 7 成有工傷及職業安全問題的情況。

除此以外，零散化是院校外判員工普遍面對的問題，舉大有高達 41.5% 的受訪員工為其員工，顯示工友不但工作待遇低，工作亦越來越不穩定，缺乏保障。當中，中大員工在調查期間向工會反映，該校曾曾在假期期間要求工人放取每月 4-6 日的無薪假，令工友本來緊縮開支的生計受到更大的剝削。

「無良大學排行榜」連大惡冠

工綜綜合員工待遇、零散化、職業安全及健康等因素，連大為「無良排行榜」之冠，其次為港大及港大，大學作為外判合約的制定者，明顯未對外判商實施足夠的監管，而在勞工合約進行同儕的調查，並去信各相關院校要求對外判餐廳的待遇調查，其後，港大校方雖然工會方面，但始終未有全面改善外判制度以保障工人權益，工資依然低於生活的需要，未能確保工友的生計，事實上，對比兩年前的狀況無甚改進，平均工資及工時並無顯著改變。

調查發現工友十分期望校方對校園內的勞工狀況作出更大的承擔，高達 89.2% 受訪者贊成校方在外判合約時訂立工資下限，期望時薪平均為 30.9 元；同時，亦有 87.4% 受訪者贊成設立工時上限，在實行的求防範中，期望工時最高每天 8 小時或以下的有 7 成，期望工時平均為 8.2 小時。

作為負責任的院校，理應負責教育者的使命，決不可助紂為虐，工會現公開連約各大院校校長書面，要求校方：

1. 回應工友的訴求，在招標時設立足以應付基本生活開支的最低時薪要求，不低於 33 元，及限制工時不可多於 8 小時；
2. 引入工會教育，促進勞工待遇及職業安全，長遠建立平等的勞資談判機制；
3. 接受與工會會面的公開邀請，仔細討論保障勞工權益的措施及改善外判制度的時間表及步驟。

飲食及酒店業職工總會
 2010 年 3 月 10 日

聯絡人：飲食及酒店業職工總會 胡耀珊 91587377

中大	聯合國際學院		帆船歌台	35	港大	Oliver's Super Sandwich	大環廣	15
	新亞國際學院	生利國際				西北小學		
港大	新華書院			15	中大	Can. Teen	英心	25
	Staff Club		港大	15		三文治公司	英心	55
	學生團體					新富務	三文治公司	15
	職業訓練					安能匯	新富務	20
	(即德夫樓)		泛亞*	60		泛亞	安能匯	35
	海運社		英心	15		學生餐廳 (LG7)	泛亞	60
中大	國際茶莊		英心	13	中大	中環匯	英心	60
	西北小學		泛亞	25		City Express	英心	35
							英心	60

訪問未能夠訪約餐廳估計總額: 1350人

*泛亞為大環廣國際下企業, 估計約用了約 310 名員工, 其未為英心, 估計約用了約 280 人。

調查結果

1. 大環外勞餐廳「無良排行榜」

調查顯示基層全職員工共 141 人平均工作時間為每天 9.74 小時, 工酬比其他行業為高, 當中 3 成受訪者表示需要加班, 其中 71% 受訪者工時達 10 小時或以上。至於工資方面, 受訪基層全職員工的平均工資為 23.9 元, 平均月入只有 5,965 元, 當中 55.6% 的工人每月收入更少於低收入家庭的水平 (即 6,240 元, 計法見附件一)。

姓名	院校	平均時薪*	平均月入*	平均工時*	工時*	時薪*	零散化	總分						
1	港大	\$22.4	2	\$5,782	2	10.1	2	1	25.6%	3	12			
2	中大	\$23.9	3	\$5,797	3	9.6	4	10.5%	4	24.4%	5	26		
3	港大	\$25.0	6	\$6,979	7	9.2	7	37.5%	2	31.0%	7	1	19	
4	港大	\$24.0	4	\$5,813	4	9.5	5	26.7%	3	67.0%	2	33.3%	2	24
5	中大	\$23.9	3	\$5,797	3	9.6	4	10.5%	7	60.0%	3	12.5%	6	25
6	港大	\$24.7	5	\$6,138	5	9.8	3	20.0%	5	35.0%	4	24.4%	5	26
7	中大	\$25.5	7	\$6,324	6	9.5	5	22.2%	4	50.0%	5	25.8%	3	27
平均		\$23.9		\$5,965		9.74		23.7%		51.8%		12.5%	6	34

*按名額以全職基層員工為準

綜合員工待遇、零散化、職業安全及健康等因素, 理大為「無良排行榜」之冠, 其次為中大及港大, 調查發現中大基層員工的平均工資最低只有 21.6 元, 屬打工比例超過 4 成。而其中港大泛亞 (大家樂集團) 的餐房清潔員工, 月薪 4,750, 每天工作 10.5 小時, 時薪僅得 17.4 元, 而理大則工酬及勞務問題嚴重, 分別有逾 4 成及 7 成基層員工有工傷及勞損。中大及港大員工工時最長, 每天工作時達 10.8 小時。

\$17.4
+

飲食及酒店業職工總會 大學外判餐廳員工待遇問卷調查

調查背景及方法

調查於 2009 年 10 月至 2010 年 2 月間進行，訪問員先後到訪 7 所大學（中大、城大、浸大、理大、科大、科大、港大），分別以面對面及電話問卷形式訪問了共 238 名大學外判餐廳員工（人數分布見下表）。問卷內容包括員工的工資、工種、工種及零散化等情況，並了解其對最低工資及標準工時的看法。

院校	全體		兼職		人數
	高層*	技術/管理人員	基層	技術/管理人員	
中大	18	3	3	0	24
城大	12	0	6	0	18
浸大	20	3	8	0	31
理大	21	2	8	0	31
科大	17	7	17	0	41
科大	22	12	11	0	45
港大	31	11	6	0	48
總數	141	38	59	0	238

*高層員工包括管理層、導菜、收銀、洗碗、水吧、員工助理等非技術及半技術職位。

院校	餐廳名稱	餐館型	估計員工人數	院校	餐廳名稱	餐館型	估計員工人數
浸大	咖啡	杏花樓	36	港大	中環酒	泛亞	10
	聯亞樓		35		新亞酒	泛亞	45
	聯亞酒		15		西貢酒	泛亞	8
	百合餐廳	家心	55		學生餐廳 (按月明碼)	純心	60
	咖啡園		20		學生會大樓餐廳	泛亞	60
中大	三文治公司	三文治公司	45	中大	LuTouch 小食亭	先明人士 儲蓄券	2
	小食吧	匯佳	50		學生餐廳 (太古樓)	泛亞	25
	地庫軒		35		Starbucks	Coffee Concepts	15



Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council Session 2010
1st Regular Meeting
Notice

Date: 2010/3/19 (Friday)

Time: 18:00

Venue: UG116

Agenda

1. To appoint a acting secretary
2. To read out the correspondences
3. To discuss the meeting format and frequency of meeting
4. To receive and adopt the agenda
5. To discuss the year plan of CAC
6. To discuss the presses concerning "大學外判餐廳員工薪酬"
7. To discuss the current issue "五區總辭"
8. To discuss the current issue "功能組別存廢問題"
9. A.O.B.

Reference

Agendum 6:

1. <http://news.google.com.hk/news/story?um=1&cf=all&ned=hk&source=hp&cf=all&ncl=dvwNwoAJRdTMeYlGOYpzKMCfIM>

2. Attachment CAC_1 [For internal use, restricted for CAC members, observers and advisors]

Approved by,

Ernest Cheung
Chairman of Current Affairs Committee (acting)
HKUSU Council Session 2010

Current Affairs Committee
HONG KONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' UNION
COUNCIL

香港大學學生會評議會時事委員會

Session 2010 二零一零年度

MOTION 議案

Council Meeting 第 1 次常務會議

Date 日期：19/3/2010

No. 編號：_____

MOTION THAT 議案內容：

To receive and adopt the agenda.

Proposer :

Fu Kwan
Chubon
Amey

Seconders :

Ho Siu
Li

~~Time Received~~ 接收時間：_____

~~NO OBJECTION~~ 無反對 / VOTE BY SIMPLE MAJORITY 簡單大多數投票 /

VOTE BY 2/3 MAJORITY 三分二在席代表多數

FOR 贊成 _____ AGAINST 反對 _____ ABSTAIN 棄權 _____

Resolution 議決：MOTION CARRIED 議案通過 / DEFEATED 被否決 / WITHDRAWN 撤回

Time Resolved 處理時間：_____

Chairman (acting)

署理主席

[Signature]

附件一

計法以一個人家庭為標準，包括一名全職工作的健全成人(月薪 5,000 元)、一名照顧家庭人士及兩名健全兒童。
 按需要可需要：

◇ 標準金額	5,815
◇ (家中一名健全成人、一名照顧家庭人士及兩名健全兒童的標準金額)	
特別津貼	
> 租金	3,500
> 水費 / 排污費	44.8
> 醫生膳食津貼	220
> 往返學校交通費	400
總額：	9,979.8
可評估收入：月薪 - 最高豁免計算入息金額(即 5,000 元-2,500 元)	2,500
= 援助金額	7,480
家庭總收入 = 薪金收入 + 援助金額(即 5,000 元+7,480 元)	(以總數計)
平均每名成人收入 = 家庭總收入 ÷ 成人人數(即 12,480 元÷2)	12,480
	6,240

資料來源：社會福利處(2009)

TOTAL P.07

TOTAL P.07
 TOTAL P.08
 P.09

4. 大學外判儀器技術 / 管理員工工資一般就業界為低

工資	大學儀器	中學	特級	比較
大學	\$16,500	\$19,859	—	-18.9%
薪酬	\$11,465	\$12,854	—	-10.8%
管理主任	\$11,100	—	\$10,513	5.6%
管理主任	\$10,500	\$12,238	—	-14.2%
管理主任	\$9,187.0	—	\$11,162	-17.7%

另外，調查發現大學外判儀器技術員工工資一般就業界為低，當中以儀器管理主任及大學的差距最大，分別較低17.7%及16.9%。

5. 員工對最低工資及標準工資意見

最低工資意見		標準工資意見	
類別	百分比	類別	百分比
反對	189 89.2%	反對	220 \$24.9 \$25 \$29.9 \$30 \$34.9 3 \$35 無回答 7 189 100%
贊成	23 10.8%	贊成	4 61 74 43 23.6%
無回答	26 11.9%	無回答	2.2% 33.5% 40.7%
總數	238 100%	總數	238 100%

高達九成受訪者認為校方在外判儀器技術員工工資下陷，期望時薪平均為30.9元，近六成半受訪者認為最低工資應不低於\$30。

工作時間意見		工作時間意見	
類別	百分比	類別	百分比
反對	174 87.4%	反對	25 12.5%
贊成	26 12.6%	贊成	39 19.5%
無回答	238 100%	無回答	238 100%

工作時間方面，亦有87.4%受訪者贊成設立工作規管，在實施的受訪者中，建議工作時間每天8小時或以下的有7成，期望工時平均為8.2小時。

2. 工資、工時狀況

平均月薪最低的5名員工

姓名	所屬公司	職位	工時	編制工作	平均月薪	月薪
潘大	亞細亞	儀器管理	10.5	6	\$17.4	\$4,751
潘大	亞細亞	儀器管理	5.5	5	\$18.2	\$2,165
潘大	亞細亞	儀器管理	10	6	\$18.3	\$4,751
潘大	亞細亞	儀器管理	10	6	\$18.3	\$4,751
潘大	亞細亞	儀器管理	10.5	6	\$18.7	\$5,100

平均月薪低於30元的員工分佈(共156人)

類別	人數	百分比	中大	中大	中大	中大
潘大	29	18.59%	27	21	21	16
潘大	27	17.31%	15	21	18	16
潘大	21	13.46%	21	21	18	16
潘大	21	13.46%	21	21	18	16
潘大	21	13.46%	21	21	18	16

投公司

類別	人數	百分比	中大	中大	中大	中大
潘大	30	19.23%	16	15	10	5
潘大	16	10.26%	16	15	10	5
潘大	10	6.41%	10	10	10	10
潘大	10	6.41%	10	10	10	10
潘大	10	6.41%	10	10	10	10

工資是否對價付產值調查

類別	人數	百分比	中大	中大	中大	中大
潘大	20	15.38%	43	26	20.00%	29.23%
潘大	43	33.08%	43	26	20.00%	29.23%
潘大	26	20.00%	43	26	20.00%	29.23%
潘大	26	20.00%	43	26	20.00%	29.23%
潘大	26	20.00%	43	26	20.00%	29.23%

3. 與2007年比較

比較2年前工費同類型調查結果，數據顯示2年來儀器員工待遇未有明顯改善，當中最大的平均工資更大幅下降了10.7%，反映院校在儀器技術人員待遇方面未有有效監管。至於儀器員工薪酬往年的增加，在調查結果顯示有改善，但工資平穩的壓力，工時方面則以城大增幅最大，升幅達1成半，理大工時及薪酬增幅明顯，升幅分別2成半及超過3成。

類別	平均月薪	2007	2009	2007	2009	2007	2009	2007	2009	2007	2009
潘大	\$24.7	\$20.5	9.8	9.8	20.0%	15.4%	35.0%	60.0%	35.0%	60.0%	60.0%
潘大	\$25.5	\$25.0	9.5	9.5	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%	57.6%	50.0%	57.6%	57.6%
潘大	\$25.0	\$23.6	9.5	9.5	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%	57.6%	50.0%	57.6%	57.6%
潘大	\$24.0	\$23.7	9.5	9.5	22.2%	16.7%	50.0%	57.6%	50.0%	57.6%	57.6%
潘大	\$22.4	\$21.0	9.2	9.2	37.5%	23.5%	31.0%	61.5%	31.0%	61.5%	61.5%
潘大	\$23.9	\$22.5	9.6	9.6	16.5%	20.0%	53.0%	100.0%	53.0%	100.0%	100.0%
潘大	\$23.9	\$23.6	9.7	9.6	23.7%	21.3%	51.8%	68.8%	51.8%	68.8%	68.8%

*數據以全職儀器員工為準

Current Affairs Committee
HONG KONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' UNION
COUNCIL

香港大學學生會評議會時事委員會
Session 2010 二零一零年度

MOTION 議案

Council Meeting 第 1 次常務會議


Date 日期: 19/3/2010

No. 編號: _____


MOTION THAT 議案內容:

香港大學學生會對有大學生參與五月十六日立法會五區補選表示中立。

Proposer:


Tam Chun Sing (CC)

Seconder:


Yip Kwun (Yip Kwun) (BCL)

~~Time Received~~ 接收時間: 20:01

NO OBJECTION 無反對 / VOTE BY SIMPLE MAJORITY 簡單大多數投票 /

VOTE BY 2/3 MAJORITY 三分二在席代表多數

FOR 贊成 _____ AGAINST 反對 _____ ABSTAIN 棄權 _____

Resolution 議決: MOTION CARRIED 議案通過 / DEFEATED 被否決 / WITHDRAWN 撤回

Time Resolved 處理時間: 20:01

Chairman (acting)

署理主席


Cheung Chor Hei, Ernest

立法會選舉 Legislative Council Election

立法會

可考慮的方向：

議席數目：

由現時60席增至70席。

地區直選和間選所佔議席：

新增10席中，5席由地區直選產生，5席由民選區議員互選產生；地區直選和間選產生議席，因此將增至41席，佔議席總數約6成。

立法會議席

	現時	2012年
地區直選	30	35
地區間選(區議會)	1	6
「傳統」功能界別	29	29
合共：	60	70

「傳統」功能界別議席：

維持在29席，沒有增加。

Legislative Council ("LegCo")

Directions for consideration:

Number of Seats:

To be increased from the current 60 to 70.

Seats returned by geographical direct and indirect elections:

For the 10 new seats, five to be returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, and five to be elected from among elected DC members. Accordingly, close to 60% (41 seats) of the seats will be returned by geographical direct and indirect elections.

Composition of LegCo

	Current	2012
Geographical direct elections	30	35
Geographical indirect elections (DCs)	1	6
"Traditional" Functional Constituencies	29	29
Total:	60	70

"Traditional" Functional Constituencies:

to remain at 29 seats, without any increase.

香港特別行政區選舉制度諮詢委員會
政府諮詢委員會
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government
Government Consultation Committee

諮詢文件可以在各區民政事務處

索取，或者在政府網頁下載：

www.cmab-cd2012.gov.hk

歡迎你透過郵寄、傳真或

電郵在2010年2月19日

或之前提交意見：

地址：

香港下亞厘畢道

中區政府合署中座319室

政制及內地事務局

傳真號碼：2523 3207

電郵地址：views@cmab-cd2012.gov.hk

The consultation document can be obtained from District Offices or the Government website.

www.cmab-cd2012.gov.hk

Please send us your views by mail, fax or e-mail on or before 19 February 2010:

Address:

Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau

Room 319, Main Wing

Central Government Offices

Lower Albert Road

Hong Kong

Fax: 2523 3207

E-mail: views@cmab-cd2012.gov.hk

政制及內地事務局
Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau
2010年1月
January 2010

普選時間表 Universal Suffrage Timetable

2007年12月，行政長官向人大常委會提交報告，如實反映在《政制發展綠皮書》公眾諮詢期間所收集到有關普選的意見。人大常委會在審議報告後，明確了普選時間表，即是香港可於：

- 2017年由全港合資格選民(即一人一票)普選產生行政長官；
- 2020年普選產生立法會全體議員。

2012年政制向前發展，有利香港落實普選。政府現正就如何在循序漸進原則下，使2012年行政長官及立法會選舉進一步民主化諮詢公眾。

本單張簡介可考慮的主要方向。


In December 2007, the Chief Executive ("CE") submitted a report to the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress ("NPCSC"), reflecting faithfully the views on universal suffrage received during the public consultation on the Green Paper on Constitutional Development. Having considered the report, the NPCSC made clear the universal suffrage timetable:

- The CE in 2017 may be elected through universal suffrage by all registered electors of Hong Kong (i.e. one-person-one-vote);
- All members of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") may be elected by universal suffrage in 2020.

Rolling forward constitutional development in 2012 will be conducive to Hong Kong's implementation of universal suffrage.

The Government is now consulting the public on how to further democratize the CE and LegCo elections for 2012 under the principle of gradual and orderly progress.

This leaflet introduces the main directions that may be considered.



行政長官選舉委員會

可考慮的方向：

議席數目：

由現時800席增至
不超過1200席。



四個界別的比例：

維持均等，即每個界別各增加100席。

選舉委員會議席	現時	2012年
第一界別：工商、金融界	200	300
第二界別：專業界	200	300
第三界別：勞工、社會服務、宗教等界	200	300
第四界別：立法會議員、區域性組織代表、香港地區全國人大代表、香港地區全國政協委員的代表	200	300
合共：	800	1200

提升民選區議員的參與；

第四界別的新增議席，大部份分配給民選區議員互選產生。

行政長官選舉 Chief Executive Election

CE Election Committee ("EC")

Directions for consideration:

Number of Seats:

To be increased from the current 800 to not more than 1200.


Proportion of the four sectors:

To remain even, i.e. to increase 100 seats for each sector.

Composition of EC	Current	2012
First Sector: Industrial, commercial and financial sectors	200	300
Second Sector: The professions	200	300
Third Sector: Labour, social services, religious and other sectors	200	300
Fourth Sector: Members of the Legislative Council, representatives of district-based organizations, Hong Kong deputies to the National People's Congress, and representatives of Hong Kong members of the National Committee of the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference	200	300
Total:	800	1200

Enhance the participation of elected members of District Councils (DC):

To allocate most of the new seats in the Fourth Sector to DC Members elected from among elected DC Members.



DHKFS, HKUSUC Session 2010:

今日下午，學聯發起三二八青年踢走功能組別遊行，由港大國殤之柱經中聯辦遊行至禮賓府，往禮賓府途中，警方封路阻擋遊行人士，更無理拘捕學聯成員、理大學生會會長黃佳鑫。

我們對警方無理拘捕示威人士，打壓和平示威活動，嚴重侵害香港市民之公民權利深表憤怒。

我們強烈譴責警方濫用警權，要求警方立即釋放黃佳鑫同學，並就事件解釋及道歉。

香港大學學生會學聯代表團

二零一零年三月廿八日

HKFS:

公民抗命有理 抗議警方打壓

要求警方立即釋放黃佳鑫

學聯於今日下午二時半發起「青年踢走功能組別遊行」，近百名參與者包括大專學生、青年及民間團體。遊行隊伍到達禮賓府門後，警方架起人牆及鐵馬阻截，並以公眾安全為理由阻止隊伍前進往禮賓府正門。期間主辦單位代表與警方交涉路線安排，但警方一再拖延，且缺乏誠意與參與者溝通。部份參與者感到鼓躁，遂自行繞小路前往正門。警方再次攔截，一度發生衝突，在僵持期間，警方將學聯成員黃佳鑫押倒在地，並將他強行拖離現場，帶往警署，而且沒有當場交代原因。

學聯認為此並非個別事件，事實上近幾個月來不斷拘捕以至檢控社會人士，以圖製造白色恐怖，藉此打壓民間運動。是次事件激化的責任明顯在於警方安排，學聯強烈譴責警方的拘捕行為，並堅決認為面對制度不公，公民抗命無罪，重申我們反對<公安條例>的立場。

學聯要求警方立即釋放黃佳鑫，並將反對任何警方檢控。

香港專上學生聯會

28-03-2010

聯絡人周澄(93015004)

Date: 19th March 2010
Time: 6:00pm-8:00pm
Venue: UG116

Present:

Ernest, Jacky, Edmond, Ric, Julio, Bowie, Hei, Sing, Virgil

Official Observer:

Terry (LSK Hall CAS)

1. To appoint an acting secretary

Kwok Sing Hon (UID 2009403243) was appointed as the acting secretary.

2. To read out the correspondences

3. To discuss the meeting format and frequency of meeting

Standing orders only at carrying motion

Meeting once a month

4. To receive and adopt the agenda

Motion 1

Motion that:

To receive and adopt the agenda

Time received: 18:18

Resolution: Motion was carried without objection

Time resolved: 18:18

5. To discuss year plan of CAC

A. Suggestion by Shum Hin Lung:

i. To post news in toilet

Cons:

Unpleasant to stay in toilet

Need frequent changing of the news

ii. To put table stand

Cons:

Table stand is only for publicity instead of disseminating information

Aims and objectives of table stand unclear

But maybe accepted as an idea, when there are needs.

6. To discuss presses concerning the

CEDAR says not so low as \$17.4. Also HKU is far away, so the salary in HKU is already one-third higher than outside. The company has already in mind an internal minimum wage, but it has not reached \$6200 either. Jacky wonders what the rationale of taking some actions, and what is worth doing.

Ernest suggests issuing statements, since HKU should have taken great care when signing a contract with the catering provider. Ernest quoted Albert Chau's words: the rent received will be deposited into a Catering Fund, which will not be used for other purposes.

Bowie wonders about the direction.

Ernest prefers using this event to raise awareness of the issue.

Ric points out that the statistics provided are quite tricky, since part-time is also included.

Ernest reminds there is no statement released on the minimum wage issue.

Jacky points that there are a lot of issues more recent and significant than the Minimum Wage Issue.

Bowie thinks there will be discussion on this issue again later, so maybe we can hold it up.

7. To discuss the Functional Constituency

Sing points there is uniqueness in Hong Kong.

In USA, every state has 2 seats. FC keeps a seat as there is a need, since the industries represented have made some contributions.

Ernest does not prefer declaring a stance now, since there will be much action taken later.

Sing thinks the Functional Councilors have fear that they would fail in the election.

Jacky points that there are two directions now:

1. Totally abolish FC

2. Different election systems

Sing quoted there is a very interesting election long ago: putting many professions in one area

But realistic problems are: how to group students, housewives, unemployed?

It is also a disaster to let every citizen have a voting right in every area.

Ernest quoted Martin Kok's words: 2012 Universal Suffrage is just an ideal, not something practical And, university students should have ideals.

8. To discuss Five-District-Resignation

Ernest prefers having a stance now, but it can be neutral, instead of no stance.

HKU has all along been concerned with CA issues.

We have to specifically say whether we agree with the act of Five-District-Resignation.

Ric points out the difficulty of having a unified stance of all everyone.

The method itself is problematic, so why do we have to declare our stance on it?

Union Member mentions that the stance is only for provoking thoughts from our members. If, even HKU has 50/50 stance, why should we intentionally declare a stance?

Martin mentions there will be a discussion in the Federation on the stance towards the issue that "University students get involved in the Five-District-Election".

A resolution is advised to be made for reference of Martin.

Towards students' involvement in the Social Progress.

But Sing doubts whether getting involved in the Election is the best way to achieve the same.

Arthur points out this discussion has no realistic but only academic value.

Martin states that now the main points towards which we should declare a stance are:

1. The so-called Universal Suffrage
2. Students' involvement
- Students' involvement is the best, since:
 1. The constitutional parties are not coming out
 2. B Team
 3. The eccentric people

Sing points out

1. There is no choice and no difference
3. This election will only become a by-election

Arthur

1. The original candidates will automatically be elected
 2. The so-called universal suffrage is actually a by-election in all districts
- Since all eligible citizens are voting

Martin's reason against the Resignation before:

There is a risk that candidates from constitutional parties will come out and be elected.

Sing

No matter you agree or not, it is good to at least have a chance to vote.

Arthur

It is a must to have a stance towards the 大專 2012.

Virgil

Have no stance towards Resignation

Have stance towards 大專 2012

Ernest

Should we be more concerned about the ordinary members' stance

Michael Tsui

It would be shameful for HKU not to agree or even disagree to 大專 2012

HKUST declared to agree

But some universities have declared neutral.

Ernest

4 Universities have declared to agree

Brief preference showing:

Sing is neutral to both

Virgil support both

Ric, Edmond, Jacky and Julio are neutral to Resignation but support 大專 2012.

Argument summary:

Resignation

For

Rationale

Against

The method is doubtful

大專 2012

For

To keep the meaning of the Election

Neutral stance → others' impression: for safety?

Ernest's action if the resolution is out:

If neutral → Say neither agree nor disagree

Ernest's ideas on the Resignation: A farce

Another problem:

Even if the "win" : Government can just not accept

If "lose": Government can say even citizens do not agree

Michael Tsui

A safer way:

Ask citizens to go for voting.

Jacky

The stance is for handling the Mass Media

Michael Tsui

Not supporting them will make them seem more independent

Motion 2

Date: 19th March 2010
Time: 6:00pm-8:00pm
Venue: UG116

Present:

Cheung Chor Hei Ernest
Yiu Kwun Chuen Jacky
Ho Kwan Yu
Ho Sin Loi
Kwok Sing Hon
Chiu Po Yee
Chan Hei Shun
Tam Chun Sing
Tong Jin Tao Virgil

Apologize:

Shing King Wai, Keith
Luk Kam Hei
Yeung Tsz Chun

Official Observer:

Terry (LSK Hall CAS)

1. To appoint an acting secretary

Kwok Sing Hon (UID 2009403243) was appointed as the acting secretary.

2. To read out the correspondences

Shing King Wai, Keith cannot attend due to family affairs
Luk Kam Hei cannot attend due to campaign
Yeung Tsz Chun cannot attend due to important things.

3. To discuss the meeting format and frequency of meeting

Standing orders only at carrying motion
Meeting once a month

4. To receive and adopt the agenda

Motion 1

Motion that:

To receive and adopt the agenda

Time received: 18:18

Resolution: Motion was carried without objection

Time resolved: 18:18

5. To discuss year plan of CAC

A. Suggestion by Shum Hin Lung:

i. To post news in toilet

Cons:

Unpleasant to stay in toilet

Need frequent changing of the news

ii. To put table stand

Cons:

Table stand is only for publicity instead of disseminating information

Aims and objectives of table stand unclear

But maybe accepted as an idea, when there are needs.

6. To discuss presses concerning the canteens in University

CEDAR says not so low as \$17.4. Also HKU is far away, so the salary in HKU is already one-third higher than outside. The company has already in mind an internal minimum wage, but it has not reached \$6200 either. Jacky wonders what the rationale of taking some actions, and what is worth doing.

Ernest suggests issuing statements, since HKU should have taken great care when signing a contract with the catering provider. Ernest quoted Albert Chau's words: the rent received will be deposited into a Catering Fund, which will not be used for other purposes.

Bowie wonders about the direction.

Ernest prefers using this event to raise awareness of the issue.

Ric points out that the statistics provided are quite tricky, since part-time is also included.

Ernest reminds there is no statement released on the minimum wage issue.

Jacky points that there are a lot of issues more recent and significant than the Minimum Wage Issue.

Bowie thinks there will be discussion on this issue again later, so maybe we can hold it up.

7. To discuss the Functional Constituency

Sing points there is uniqueness in Hong Kong.

In USA, every state has 2 seats. FC keeps a seat as there is a need, since the industries represented have made some contributions.

Ernest does not prefer declaring a stance now, since there will be much action taken later.

Sing thinks the Functional Councilors have fear that they would fail in the election.

Jacky points that there are two directions now:

1. Totally abolish FC
2. Different election systems

Sing quoted there is a very interesting election long ago: putting many professions in one area

But realistic problems are: how to group students, housewives, unemployed?

It is also a disaster to let every citizen have a voting right in every area.

Ernest quoted Martin Kok's words: 2012 Universal Suffrage is just an ideal, not something practical

And, university students should have ideals.

8. To discuss Five-District-Resignation

Ernest prefers having a stance now, but it can be neutral, instead of no stance.

HKU has all along been concerned with CA issues.

We have to specifically say whether we agree with the act of Five-District-Resignation.

Ric points out the difficulty of having a unified stance of all everyone.

The method itself is problematic, so why do we have to declare our stance on it?

Union Member mentions that the stance is only for provoking thoughts from our members.

If, even HKU has 50/50 stance, why should we intentionally declare a stance?

Martin mentions there will be a discussion in the Federation on the stance towards the issue that

"University students get involved in the Five-District-Election".

A resolution is advised to be made for reference of Martin.

Towards students' involvement in the Social Progress.

But Sing doubts whether getting involved in the Election is the best way to achieve the same.

Arthur points out this discussion has no realistic but only academic value.

Martin states that now the main points towards which we should declare a stance are:

1. The so-called Universal Suffrage
2. Students' involvement
- Students' involvement is the best, since:
 1. The constitutional parties are not coming out
 2. B Team
 3. The eccentric people

Sing points out

1. There is no choice and no difference
3. This election will only become a by-election

Arthur

1. The original candidates will automatically be elected
2. The so-called universal suffrage is actually a by-election in all districts

Since all eligible citizens are voting

Martin's reason against the Resignation before:

There is a risk that candidates from constitutional parties will come out and be elected.

Sing

No matter you agree or not, it is good to at least have a chance to vote.

Arthur

It is a must to have a stance towards the 大專 2012.

Virgil

Have no stance towards Resignation

Have stance towards 大專 2012

Ernest

Should we be more concerned about the ordinary members' stance

Michael Tsui

It would be shameful for HKU not to agree or even disagree to 大專 2012

HKUST declared to agree

But some universities have declared neutral.

Ernest

4 Universities have declared to agree

Brief preference showing:

Sing is neutral to both

Virgil support both

Ric, Edmond, Jacky and Julio are neutral to Resignation but support 大專 2012.

Argument summary:

Resignation

For

Rationale

Against

The method is doubtful

大專 2012

For

To keep the meaning of the Election

Neutral stance → others' impression: for safety?

Ernest's action if the resolution is out:

If neutral → Say neither agree nor disagree

Ernest's ideas on the Resignation: A farce

Another problem:

Even if the "win": Government can just not accept

If "lose": Government can say even citizens do not agree

Michael Tsui

A safer way:

Ask citizens to go for voting.

Jacky

The stance is for handling the Mass Media

Michael Tsui

Not supporting them will make them seem more independent

Motion 2

Motion that:

香港大學學生會對大專生參與五月十六日立法會五區補選表示中立。

Proposer: Tam Chun Sing

Seconder: Yiu Kwun Chun

Time received: 20:01

Resolution: Motion was carried without objection

Time resolved: 20:01

9. AOB

None

10. Next meeting

Early April

The meeting was ended at 20:08.

Prepared by:

KWOK Sing Hon (MSR)

Secretary (acting)

CAC, HKUSU Council

Session 2010

Approved by:

CHEUNG Chor Hei (PC1)

Chairman (acting)

CAC, HKUSU Council

Session 2010